Sentence Review Division 301 S. Park, Suite 328 P.O. Box 203005 Helena, MT 59620-3005 Phone: (406) 841-2976

Email: shellysmith@mt.gov



SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION OF THE SUPREME COURT OF MONTANA

STATE OF MONTANA,) Cause No. DC-16-1324
	Plaintiff,	Yellowstone County District Court
-vs-) Montana Thirteenth Judicial District
WILLIAM L. KERNER,		DECISION
of the contract of the contrac	Defendant.)

On May 18, 2018, the Defendant was sentenced to fifty (50) years in the Montana State Prison, with ten (10) years suspended, for the offense of Sexual Intercourse Without Consent, a Felony, in violation of §45-5-503, MCA. The Court ordered a twenty-five (25) year parole restriction under §46-18-202, MCA. Defendant was designated a Level 3 Sexual Offender and ordered to complete all three levels of sex offender treatment before parole consideration. Defendant was given credit for time served from January 3, 2017 through May 18, 2018.

On February 4, 2021, the Defendant's Application for review of that sentence came on for hearing by Zoom videoconference before the Sentence Review Division of the Montana Supreme Court (hereafter "the Division"). The Defendant appeared from the Montana State Prison and was represented by David Maldonado, Defense Counsel. The State was represented by Yellowstone County Deputy Attorney, Christopher Morris. The Defendant gave a statement.

Before hearing the Application, the Defendant was advised that the Division has the authority not only to reduce the sentence or affirm it, but also increase it. The Defendant was further advised that there is no appeal from a decision of the Division. The Defendant acknowledged that he understood this and stated that he wished to proceed.

Rule 12, Rules of the Sentence Review Division of the Supreme Court of Montana, provides that, "The sentence imposed by the District Court is presumed correct. The sentence shall not be reduced or increased unless it is clearly inadequate or clearly excessive." (Section 46-18-904(3), MCA).

The Division finds that the sentence imposed by the District Court is clearly excessive.

Therefore, it is the unanimous decision of the Division that the sentence shall be MODIFIED to remove the requirement that the Defendant complete Phase III of Sexual Offender Treatment prior to being parole eligible.

In all other aspects, the Judgment of the District Court dated June 15, 2018 is affirmed.

DATED this 8th day of February, 2021.

SENTENCE REVIEW DIVISION

Mon., Luke Berger Chairperson

Hop. Jessica Fehr, Member

Hon. Dan Wilson, Member

Copies mailed or emailed this ______ day of February, 2021, to:

Clerk of District Court – via email

William L. Kerner #3004298, Defendant (2)

Hon. Donald Harris - via email

David Maldonado, Defense Counsel - via email

Christopher Morris, Esq. - via email

Board of Pardons and Parole - via email

MSP - Records Dept. - via email

Shelly Smith, Office Administrator

Sentence Review Division